UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

IN RE: PARAGARD PRODUCTS	:	MDL DOCKET NO. 2974
LIABILITY LITIGATION	:	
	:	
This document relates to:	:	1:20-md-02974-LMM
RUTH MORALES SALGADO	:	
	:	
vs.	:	Civil Action No
	:	
TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA,	:	
INC., ET AL.	:	

SHORT FORM COMPLAINT

Come(s) now the Plaintiff(s) named below, and for her/their Complaint against the Defendant(s) named below, incorporate(s) the Second Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint (Doc No. 79), in MDL No. 2974 by reference. Plaintiff(s) further plead(s) as follows:

[o. 79),	in MDL No. 2974 by reference. Plaintiff(s) further plead(s) as follows:
1.	Name of Plaintiff placed with Paragard: <u>RUTH MORALES SALGADO</u>
2.	Name of Plaintiff's Spouse (if a party to the case): N/A
3.	If case is brought in a representative capacity, Name of Other Plaintiff and capacity
	(i.e., administrator, executor, guardian, conservator): N/A
4.	State of Residence of each Plaintiff (including any Plaintiff in a representative
	capacity) at time of filing of Plaintiff's original complaint: VIRGINIA
5.	State of Residence of each Plaintiff at the time of Paragard placement: <u>PROVINCE</u>
	OF CATANIA, SICILY, ITALY
_	

- 6. State of Residence of each Plaintiff at the time of Paragard removal: <u>CALIFORNIA</u>
- District Court and Division in which personal jurisdiction and venue would be proper:
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

- 8. Defendants. (Check one or more of the following five (5) defendants against whom Plaintiff's Complaint is made. The following five (5) Defendants are the only Defendants against whom a Short Form Complaint may be filed. No other entity may be added as a Defendant in a Short Form Complaint.):
- ☐ A. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.
- 図 B. Teva Women's Health, LLC
- ☐ C. Teva Branded Pharmaceutical Products R&D, Inc.
- ☑ D. The Cooper Companies, Inc.
- ⊠ E. CooperSurgical, Inc.
- 9. Basis of Jurisdiction
- Diversity of Citizenship (28 U.S.C. § 1332(a))
- ☐ Other (if Other, identify below)

10.

Date(s) Plaintiff	Placing Physicians(s) or other	Date Plaintiff's	Removal Physician(s)
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
had Paragard	Health Care Provider	Paragard was	or other Health Care
placed	(include City and State)	Removed	Provided (include
(DD/MM/YYYY)		(DD/MM/YYYY)	City and State)**
		**If multiple	**If multiple
		removals or	removal(s) or
		attempted removal	attempted removal
		procedures, list	procedures, list
		date of each	information
		separately.	separately.
2/16/2012	U.S. Naval Hospital	3/4/2022	Dr. Naval Health
	Sigonella, Italy		Clinic Lemoore
			Lemoore, CA
		4/27/2022	Dr. Lucas Andrew
			Mueller, Hanford, CA
03/30/2022	Dr. Lucas Mueller Lemoore,		
	CA		

Page 3 of 6

\boxtimes	Count	VI – Negligence / Failure to Warn		
\boxtimes	Count	VII – Negligent Misrepresentation		
\boxtimes	Count	VIII – Breach of Express Warranty		
\boxtimes	Count	IX – Breach of Implied Warranty		
\boxtimes	Count	X – Violation of Consumer Protection Laws		
\boxtimes	Count XI – Gross Negligence			
\boxtimes	Count XII – Unjust Enrichment			
\boxtimes	Count XIII – Punitive Damages			
	Count XIV – Loss of Consortium			
	Other	Count(s) (Please state factual and legal basis for other claims not included in		
the Ma	aster Co	emplaint below):		
15.	"Tollin	ng/Fraudulent Concealment" allegations:		
	a.	Is Plaintiff alleging "Tolling/Fraudulent Concealment"?		
		Yes No		
	b.	If Plaintiff is alleging "tolling/fraudulent concealment" beyond the facts		
		alleged in the Master Complaint, please state the facts and legal basis		
		applicable to the Plaintiff in support of those allegations below:		
	Prior t	to having the ParaGard IUD implanted, Plaintiff's healthcare providers told her		
	the Da	ra Card HID was safe affective non-harmonal needed to be removed in 10		

years and that it could be easily removed and she could get pregnant immediately after
removal. Plaintiff did not realize that she might have a cause of action regarding the
ParaGard IUD. She did not know there was an issue with the ParaGard IUD. She
contacted her lawyers after learning she might a claim.

- 16. Count VII (Fraud & Deceit) and County VIII (Fraud by Omission) allegations:
 - a. Is Plaintiff bringing a claim under Count VII (Fraud & Deceit), Count VIII (Fraud by Omission), and/or any other claim for fraud or misrepresentation?
 - ✓ Yes✓ No
 - b. If Yes, the following information must be provided (in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8 and/or 9, and/or with pleading requirements applicable to Plaintiff's state law claims):
 - i. The alleged statement(s) of material fact that Plaintiff alleges was false:

 Paragard, a reversible form of birth control, was safe and effective.

 Paragard was safe and/or safer than other reversible birth control products on the market.
 - ii. Who allegedly made the statement: <u>Defendants</u>
 - iii. To whom the statement was allegedly made: Plaintiff and her healthcare provider who implanted Paragard.
 - iv. The date(s) on which the statement was made: <u>Defendants' statements</u>

 are within the Paragard label and marketing materials at all relevant times prior to implantation.

17. If Plaintiff is bringing any claim for manufacturing defect and alleging facts beyond those contained in the Master Complaint, the following information must be provided:

a. What does Plaintiff allege is the manufacturing defect in her Paragard? N/A

18. Plaintiff's demand for the relief sought if different than what is alleged in the Master Complaint: N/A

19. Jury Demand:
□ Jury Trial is demanded as to all counts
□ Jury Trial is NOT demanded as to any count

/s/ Laura V. Yaeger Attorney(s) for Plaintiff

Address, phone number, email address and Bar information:

Yaeger Law, PLLC
4905 34th St S, Suite 310
St. Petersburg, FL 33711
727-202-5015
laura@yourlegalcounsel.net
FL Bar # 101972
TX Bar # 24011432